



Kosovo Property Claims Commission  
Komisioni i Kërkesave Pronësorete Kosovës  
Komisija Kosovske Agencijeza Imovinu

Perandori Justinian 5  
Pristina  
Tel: +381 (0) 38 249-918  
Fax: +381 (0) 38 249-919  
E-mail: mailbox@kpaonline.org

## DECISION

PANEL NO: 1

DECISION NO.: KPCC/D/C/208/2013  
DECISION DATE: 11/06/2013

Commissioners Heiskanen (Chairperson),  
Vokshi and Wühler

## ORDER

(1) *In the 2 (two) claims identified in part A and B of the attached Schedule, the Commission*

*decides that*

*The claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, has established ownership over the claimed property;*

(2) *In each of the 7 (seven) claims, referred to in part G and H of the attached Schedule, the Commission*

*decides that*

*The claimant has established ownership over the claimed property and a use right over of the underlying land as specified in the respective individual decision;*

(3) *In the 9 (nine) claims identified in paragraphs 1 and 2, the Commission*

*orders that*

- (a) The claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, be given possession of the claimed property or be given possession of the associated land parcel on which the commercial property stood, as the case may be;*
- (b) Any other person unlawfully occupying the property vacate the same within 30 (thirty) days of the delivery of this order; and*
- (c) Should any other person unlawfully occupying the property fail to comply with the order to vacate within the time stated, they shall be evicted from the property.*

- (4) *In the 14 (fourteen) claims identified in parts C and D of the attached Schedule, the Commission decides that the claim be dismissed;*
- (5) *In Claim Nos. 06562, 1410 and 28686, referred to in parts E and F of the attached Schedule, the Commission decides that the claim be refused;*
- (6) *The Commission additionally decides that Claim Nos. 00185, 01190, 13454, 14102 and 15162 be dismissed insofar as the Claimant seeks compensation for damage to, or for loss of use of, the claimed property; and*
- (7) *In cases in which there is more than one owner, the above decisions and order do not affect the rights of any respective co-owners.*

### **LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

1. On 13 June 2008, Law No. 03/L-079 adopting and amending UNMIK Regulation 2006/50 (“UNMIK/REG/2006/50”) on the resolution of claims relating to private immovable property, including agricultural and commercial property entered into force in Kosovo. The Law included an annex (“Annex I”) adopting and amending UNMIK Administrative Direction No. 2007/5 (“UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5”), which implements UNMIK/REG/2006/50. Law No. 03/L-079 and Annex I established the Kosovo Property Agency (“KPA”) as an independent agency and amended certain provisions of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 and UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 as necessary to effect the change in the applicable legal framework. In accordance with their terms, Law No. 03/L-079 and Annex I entered into force upon their publication in the Official Gazette.
2. Pursuant to section 22 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 the Regulation ceased to be in force after 31 December 2008. Accordingly, Law No. 03/L-079 is presently the sole source of the Commission’s statutory authority. The provisions of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 remain relevant to the extent that they have been incorporated by reference to Law No. 03/L-079.

### **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

3. A claimant is entitled to an order from the Commission for repossession of the property, if the claimant proves:
- (a) ownership of private immovable property, including agricultural and commercial property; or
  - (b) a use right in respect of private immovable property, including agricultural and commercial property,

where the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, is not now able to exercise such property rights, and where the claim involves circumstances directly related to or resulting from the armed conflict that occurred in Kosovo between 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999. (See section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079.)

4. Where the claimant makes an ownership claim pursuant to section 3.1(a) of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the Commission must resolve the issue of ownership and, if ownership is proven to the satisfaction of the Commission and the claimant does not indicate otherwise, make an order for repossession in favour of the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be. Where the claimant makes a claim for a property use right pursuant to section 3.1(b) of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the Commission may consider the claim in a summary procedure and make an order for recovery of possession. (See section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 read together with section 9 of Annex III of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079.)<sup>1</sup>

5. The Commission held its thirty-fifth session from 10 to 11 June 2013 in Prishtinë/Priština. A total of 37 commercial property claims were submitted by the Executive Secretariat of the KPA (the “Executive Secretariat”) to the Commission at its thirty-fifth session, together with supporting documentation, claims processing reports, verification reports and other relevant information. One commercial property claim which had been presented to the Commission was referred by the Commission back to the Executive Secretariat during the session. In sum, a total of 36 commercial property claims were resolved by the Commission during the session.

6. At the Commission’s session, the Executive Secretariat presented the claims to the Commission and reported on the processing of and the legal and evidentiary issues raised by the claims. At the Commission’s request, the Executive Secretariat provided additional information and explanations, as required.

*A. Claims covered by the present decision*

7. The present decision covers the 26 claims for commercial property identified in parts A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H of the attached Schedule. The remaining ten commercial property claims are covered by decision KPCC/D/C/209/2013. The present decision deals with claims for commercial properties which, at the time of their notification, were not damaged or, even if damaged, were capable of repair, whereas decision KPCC/D/C/209/2013 deals with claims for commercial properties which were found to be entirely destroyed.

8. In each of these claims, except for Claim Nos. 06562, 01190, 01312, 10537 and 15166, the claimant seeks the resolution of an ownership claim. In Claim Nos. 06562, 01190, 01312, 10537 and 15166 the Claimant seeks confirmation of a use right over the claimed property.

9. The Commission notes that the fourteen claims identified in parts A, C, E and G of the attached Schedule are uncontested in the sense that at the time the claimed properties were notified there was no evidence of illegal occupation or use of these properties by any person or, even if there was such evidence, no party has contested the validity of the claim

---

<sup>1</sup>There appears to be a technical error in Annex I. While Annex I clearly appears to be intended to replace UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 in its entirety, including all its three annexes, Article 26 of Annex I provides that the title of Annex III of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 shall be replaced by a title referring to Annex II. However, there is neither specific provision nor any other indication in Law 03/L-079 or its Annexes that the intention of this particular amendment was to delete Annex I or Annex II of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5. Accordingly the Commission considers that all three Annexes of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 are included in the legislative package and will be referred to by the Commission in this decision as Sub-Annexes I, II and III, respectively.

within the 30-day period prescribed in section 10.2 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, or at any later stage during the proceedings, pursuant to section 10.3 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079. Based on the information provided by the Executive Secretariat, the Commission is satisfied that the Executive Secretariat has made reasonable efforts to notify the claimed properties, the persons who maybe currently occupying the properties, and any other persons who may have a legal interest in such properties, as required by section 10.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 and section 10.1 of Law No. 03/L-079. Accordingly these claims must be considered uncontested.

10. The Commission further notes that the twelve claims identified in parts B, D, F and H are contested in the sense that the party occupying the claimed properties, or a party that has expressed a legal interest in such properties (the “Respondent”), has contested the validity of the claim within the 30-day period prescribed in section 10.2 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, or at a later stage during the proceedings, pursuant to section 10.3 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079. Pursuant to section 10 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, each of the Respondents has been provided with a copy of the claim submitted by the respective claimant. In accordance with section 3.5 of Annex II to UNMIK/AD/2007/5 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the claimants have been provided with a copy of the documents submitted by the respective Respondent in response to the claim. Both parties in each case have thus been given an opportunity to comment on the evidence and information provided by the other party, and any such comment or information has been taken into account during the processing and adjudication of the claim.

*I. Uncontested claims – granted*

*1. Claim No. 13316*

11. In Claim No. 13316, referred to in part A of the attached Schedule, the Claimant has filed the claim in his capacity as the property right holder. In support of his claim, the Claimant submitted *inter alia* a positively verified contract on joint means concluded between the Claimant and the Public Housing Enterprise, pursuant to which the Claimant acquired ownership over the claimed property against payment of the fully paid-up contractual price. In further support of the claim, the Claimant submitted several payment receipts, which show that the Claimant met his payment obligations. The contract and the payment receipts have been verified as being genuine by the Executive Secretariat.

12. Based on the evidence before it, the Commission considers that there is sufficient evidence that the Claimant acquired ownership over the claimed property based on the contract on joint means. Consequently, the claim stands to be granted.

*2. Claim No. 00185*

13. Claim No. 00185, referred to in part G of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as the property right holder. The Claimant seeks confirmation of ownership and repossession of the claimed property, a commercial structure located in land parcel No. 42 of the Municipality of Mitrovicë/Mitrovica.

14. In support of his claim, the Claimant submitted *inter alia* an administrative decision from 1991 which shows, that the Claimant has been conferred with a use right over land parcel No. 42 for the purposes of building a commercial structure on it, whereby land parcel No. 42 itself remains in social ownership. In further support of his claim, the

Claimant submitted a building permit from 1991 issued by the Municipality of Mitrovicë/Mitrovica pursuant to which the Claimant was conferred with the right to build the claimed property on land parcel No. 42. The building permit and the administrative decision have been verified by the Executive Secretariat as being genuine.

15. Based on the evidence before it, the Commission considers that there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the Claimant has acquired ownership over the claimed property and a use right over the underlying land.

3. *Claim No. 06410*

16. Claim No. 06410, referred to in part G of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity of the property right holder. The Claimant seeks confirmation of ownership over the claimed property, a commercial structure located in the Municipality of Ferizaj/Urosevac. In support of the claim, the Claimant submitted *inter alia* a purchase contract pursuant to which the Claimant's father acquired ownership over the claimed property and a use right over the underlying land. This purchase contract has been positively verified by the Executive Secretariat as being genuine. In further support of the claim, the Claimant submitted an inheritance decision pursuant to which the Claimant's mother inherited the claimed property from her husband and the father of the Claimant, and a further inheritance decision pursuant to which the Claimant subsequently inherited the claimed property in co-ownership with an ideal part of 1/3 after the mother passed away. Both inheritance decisions have been verified by the Executive Secretariat as being genuine. The Claimant additionally submitted a certificate for immovable property rights confirming the Claimant as co-owner with an ideal part of 1/3 of the claimed property. The certificate has also been verified by the Executive Secretariat.

17. Based on the evidence before it, the Commission considers that there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the Claimant has acquired a co-ownership right over an ideal part of 1/3 of the claimed property and a use right over the underlying land.

II. *Contested claims – granted*

1. *Claim No. 15162*

18. Claim No. 15162, referred to in part B of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as the property right holder. The Claimant seeks confirmation of an ownership right over the claimed property. In support of the claim, the Claimant submitted *inter alia* a certificate for immovable property identifying the Claimant as the owner of the claimed property. This certificate has been verified as being genuine by the Executive Secretariat. The claim is contested by the Respondent, however, the Commission notes that the defense raised by the Respondent does not relate to the claimed property.

19. Based on the evidence before it, and in absence of any valid defense by the Respondent, the Commission finds that the claim stands to be granted.

2. *Claim Nos. 17993, 28947, 29087, 29088 and 29108*

20. Claim Nos. 17993, 28947, 29087, 29088 and 29108, referred to in part H of the attached Schedule, have been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as the alleged property right holder. The Claimant seeks confirmation of ownership and repossession of the claimed properties located on land parcel No. 451/12 in the Municipality of Pejë/Pec.

21. In support of his claims, the Claimant submitted a positively verified allocation decision from 1995 issued by the Municipality of Pejë/ Pec according to which the firm of the Claimant named "Tourist Trading enterprise" was allocated a use right over land parcel No. 451/12, classified as urban construction land for constructing a commercial structure. In further support of his claims, the Claimant submitted a positively verified contract on use over land parcel No. 451/12, concluded between the Claimant's firm "Tourist Trading enterprise" and the Municipality in Pejë/Pec on basis of the aforementioned allocation decision, as well as several building permits from 1995 issued by the Municipality of Pejë/Pec pursuant to which the Claimant's firm "Tourist Trading enterprise" was conferred with the right to build the claimed properties on land parcel No. 451/12. The allocation decision, the contract on use and the building permits have been positively verified by the Executive Secretariat as being genuine.

22. The Respondent claims legal rights over the claimed properties stating that his grandfather is owner of the claimed property. However, the Respondent failed to submit any evidence in support of his allegation.

23. The Commission notes that the evidence submitted by the Claimant is not issued in the name of the Claimant as the title holder but in the name of his firm, "Tourist Trading enterprise." However, as the firm has no separate legal personality but is effectively merely a trading name and fully owned by the Claimant, the Commission considers that the real party-in-interest in the present claims is the Claimant. Consequently, based on the evidence before it, and in the absence of any valid defense by the Respondent, the Commission considers that there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the Claimant has acquired ownership over the claimed property and a use right over the underlying land.

### *III. Claims dismissed*

24. Pursuant to section 11.4 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the Commission shall dismiss the whole or part of a claim where:

- (a) The claimant has failed to file a complete claim in accordance with the procedures set out in the Regulation;
- (b) The claim is not within the scope of jurisdiction of the KPA; and
- (c) The claim has previously been considered and decided in a final administrative or judicial decision.

25. Claims which are dismissed as falling outside the Commission's jurisdiction or for procedural reasons and not on account of the merits of the claim may be capable of resolution through the local courts, subject to the applicable law. In such claims the Commission's decision does not constitute a *res judicata*. Section 3.2 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079 confirms the claimants' right to pursue before courts of competent jurisdiction claims that do not fall within the mandate of the Commission, as set out in section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079. (See also section 8.1 of Annex III of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 concerning the Commission's authority to refer issues arising in connection with a claim which are not within its jurisdiction, to a competent local court or administrative board or tribunal).

26. Pursuant to section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the jurisdiction of the Commission is limited to property claims that are conflict-related in the sense that they involve circumstances directly related to or resulting from the armed conflict that occurred between 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999, where the claimant is

not now able to exercise such property rights. In order to establish whether or not a claim falls within its jurisdiction, the Commission must determine whether the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, has been displaced from the claimed property, or has lost possession or control over such property, as a consequence of the conflict. The Commission must also establish whether or not the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, is now able to exercise his or her rights over the claimed property.

1. *No claim over immoveable property*

27. In the eight claims identified in parts C and D of the attached Schedule, the claims have been filed by the respective claimant in his or her capacity as the alleged property right holder either in person or through an authorized natural person or as the case may be in his or her capacity as a family household member of the property right holder.

28. In all of these claims the Commission finds, on the basis of the evidence before it, that the respective property right holder acquired only a temporary use right over the claimed property and was therefore only authorized to build a moveable structure on the claimed properties. Accordingly, all of these claims relate to moveable property rather than to private immoveable property. Pursuant to section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to claims for repossession of private immovable property, including commercial property. Accordingly, these claims stand to be dismissed. The Commission's decision is without prejudice to any right that the Claimants may have to seek compensation before local courts for any investments they may have made in the claimed properties or the resulting increase in their value.

2. *No loss of possession as a result of the conflict*

29. Claim Nos. 01042 and 01069, referred to in part C of the attached Schedule, have been filed by the Claimants in their capacity as the alleged property right holder. Claim No. 44887, referred to in part D of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant or in his capacity as a family household member of the property right holder.

30. In all three claims the Claimants initially asserted that they or their families lost the claimed properties as a result of the 1998-1999 conflict. However, the Commission finds that, on the basis of the various types of verified documents submitted by the Claimants or obtained by the Executive Secretariat *ex officio*, or based on the Claimants' own statements, in all these claims the Claimants failed to show that their claim involves circumstances directly related to or resulting from the 1998-99 conflict. Accordingly these claims fall outside the mandate of the Commission and stand to be dismissed.

3. *Voluntary disposal or ability to exercise property right*

31. In Claim No. 13013, referred to in part D of the attached Schedule, the claim has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as a family household member of the property right holder, namely his father. The Claimant initially claimed that his family lost the claimed property as a result of the 1998-1999 conflict. However, the Claimant subsequently advised the Executive Secretariat that the claimed property has been sold to a third party after the conflict based on a valid contract on sale.

32. In these circumstances, the Commission finds that the alleged property right holder did not lose possession as a result of the 1998-1999 conflict, but rather as a result of a

voluntary sale transaction after the conflict, which shows that the alleged property right holder is now able to exercise his property rights. Consequently, the claim falls outside the Commission's jurisdiction and stands to be dismissed.

4. *No claim over private property*

33. Claim No. 13657, referred to in part C of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as the authorized representative of the alleged property right holder, the socially owned enterprise "Beogradsped." The Claimant seeks confirmation of an ownership right over the claimed property and repossession. The Commission notes, however, that the claim relates to socially owned property and not to private property as the Claimant has submitted the claim on behalf of a socially owned enterprise. Pursuant to section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079, the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to claims to private immovable property. Accordingly, the claim stands to be dismissed.

5. *Claim for compensation only*

34. Claim Nos. 11553, referred to in part D of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as a family household member of the alleged property right holder, namely his brother. The Commission notes that the Claimant only seeks compensation for the allegedly destroyed inventory of a commercial structure that he had rented during the 1998-99 conflict. The Commission has no jurisdiction under UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079 over such compensation claims. Accordingly the claim stands to be dismissed.

IV. *Claims refused*

1. *Claim No. 06562*

35. Claim No. 06562, referred to in part E of the attached schedule, has been lodged by the Claimant in his capacity as the alleged property right holder. The Claimant seeks the confirmation of a use right over the claimed property. In support of his claim, the Claimant submitted a contract on use concluded between the Public Housing Enterprise and the Claimant granting the Claimant a right of use to the claimed property. However this contract has not been verified as being genuine by the Executive Secretariat. When invited by the Executive Secretariat, the Claimant failed to submit any further evidence in support of his property right. The Executive Secretariat has also been unable to obtain *ex officio* any evidence that would support the Claimant's claim.

36. In these circumstances, the Commission concludes that the Claimant has failed to show any property right over the claimed properties immediately prior to or during the 1998-99 conflict. Consequently, the claim stands to be refused

2. *Claim No. 28686*

37. Claim No. 28686, referred to in part E of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as the alleged property right holder. In support of his claim, the Claimant submitted *inter alia* a possession list which does, however, not relate to the claimed property. Further submitted documentary evidence by the Claimant has not been verified as being genuine by the Executive Secretariat.



38. Based on the evidence before it the Commission concludes that the Claimant has failed to show ownership or any other property right over the claimed property immediately prior to or during the 1998-99 conflict. Consequently, the claim stands to be refused.

### 3. *Claim No. 14102*

30. Claim No. 14102, referred to in part F of the attached Schedule, has been filed by the Claimant in his capacity as the alleged property right holder. The Claimant seeks confirmation of an ownership right over the claimed properties. In support of his claim, the Claimant submitted *inter alia* a positively verified contract on construction from 1994 concluded with the Public Housing Enterprise, pursuant to which the Claimant acquired ownership over the claimed property that is to be built against the down payment of half of the purchase price within fifteen days and the total purchase price within 30 days after conclusion of the contract. The contract stipulates further that, if the Claimant fails to duly meet these payment conditions, the contract shall be deemed null and void. The Commission considers in this context that the claimed property was not completed before the conflict whereas the payment receipts submitted by the Claimant show that the Claimant never met his payment obligations either as he paid less than 50 % of the purchase price within the deadline of fifteen days. No further evidence has been submitted by the Claimant that could evidence that the Claimant fulfilled his payment obligations on time. The Executive Secretariat has also been unable to obtain *ex officio* any evidence in this regard. It follows from the above that the Claimant failed to meet his contractual obligation with the consequence that the contract lost its legal effect.

40. In these circumstances, the Commission concludes that the Claimant has failed to show ownership or any other property right over the claimed properties immediately prior to or during the 1998-99 conflict. Consequently, the claims stand to be refused. The Commission's decision is without prejudice to the Claimant's right to seek reimbursement of any outstanding part of the purchase price before competent courts.

### B. *Claims for compensation*

41. In the claims identified in the relevant columns of parts B, C, D, F and G of the attached Schedule, the claimants also seek, in addition to ownership, compensation for physical damage to, or for loss of use of, the claimed property. Under UNMIK/REG/2006/50 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079 the Commission has no jurisdiction over such claims. Accordingly these claims must be dismissed.

### C. *Concluding remarks*

42. The Commission's decision and order also apply, where appropriate, to any associated property, *i.e.* any buildings or other constructions owned or used by the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, which form a unit with the claimed property.

43. In a number of claims, the claimant had filed a claim for repossession of the same property with the Housing and Property Directorate, which claim had subsequently been granted by the Housing and Property Claims Commission ("HPCC"). The Commission notes that the causes of action available for claimants before the present Commission and the HPCC, respectively, and accordingly the jurisdiction of the two Commissions, are not identical. Accordingly the decisions of the HPCC do not necessarily constitute *res judicata* before the present Commission, although in certain circumstances this may be the case. Moreover, in cases where the HPCC granted an eviction order in favour of the claimant, the

Commission does not consider that such an order constitutes a bar for this Commission to issue a fresh eviction order in cases where the property is unlawfully occupied.

44. Section 8.8 of Annex III of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 as adopted by Law No. 03/L-079 allows the Chairperson of the Commission to sign a cover decision approving all individual claims identified in the cover decision, if the number of claims decided in a session is high. The Commission considers that this is appropriate in the present case.



Chairperson

### APPEALS

UNMIK/REG/2006/50 and the Law No. 03/L-79 provide that:

12.1 Within thirty (30) days of the notification to the parties by the Kosovo Property Agency of a decision of the Commission on a claim, a party may submit through the Executive Secretariat of the Kosovo Property Agency to the Supreme Court of Kosovo an appeal against such decision.

12.3 The appeal may be filed on the grounds that:

- (a) The decision involves a fundamental error or serious misapplication of the applicable material or procedural law; or
- (b) The decision rests upon an erroneous or incomplete determination of the facts.

The Supreme Court of Kosovo may levy court fees for the appeal procedures.

Information on the appeals procedures is contained in the separate Appeals Information Sheet provided to parties with this decision.

**\* The English version is the official of all Property Claims Commission decisions. In case of conflict between the English language version and the Albanian or Serbian language version, then the meaning in the English language shall prevail.**

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part A/Pjesa A/Deo A

KPA13316						
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part B/Pjesa B/Deo B

KPA15162						
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part C/Pjesa C/Deo C

KPA01042	KPA01069	KPA01190	KPA01312	KPA13454	KPA15166	KPA26369
KPA00813	KPA13657					

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part D/Pjesa D/Deo D

KPA10537	KPA17571	KPA44887	KPA11553	KPA13013		
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part E/Pjesa E/Deo E

KPA06562						
KPA28686						

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part F/Pjesa F/Deo F

KPA14102						
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part G/Pjesa G/Deo G

KPA00185						
KPA06410						

Spreadsheet /Lista /Prilog  
Part H/Pjesa H/Deo H

KPA17993	KPA28947	KPA29087	KPA29088	KPA29108		
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--	--